Refer to NOAA Fisheries No.: 2004/00727



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE Northwest Region 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Bldg. 1 Seattle, WA 98115

November 30, 2004

Mr. Stephen J. Wright Administrator Bonneville Power Administration P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Brigadier General William T. Grisoli Commander and Division Engineer U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division P.O. Box 2870 Portland, OR 97208-2870

Mr. William McDonald Regional Director U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Region 1150 N. Curtis Rd., Suite 100 Boise, Idaho 83706-1234

Re: Revised 2004 Biological Opinion on the Operation of the Federal Columbia River Power System and 19 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation projects

Dear Mr. Wright, Brigadier General Grisoli, and Mr. McDonald,

Enclosed is the revised 2004 Biological Opinion prepared by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act and in response to the District Court's Order dated June 2, 2003, in the case *National Wildlife Federation v. NMFS*, CR 01-640-RE. NOAA Fisheries concludes in this biological opinion that the Action Agencies' Updated Proposed Action (UPA), finalized November 24, 2004, is not likely to jeopardize twelve listed species or one proposed-to-be-listed species of Columbia basin salmonids. NOAA Fisheries also concludes that the UPA is not likely to destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat for Snake River (SR) spring/summer chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), SR fall chinook (*O. tshawytscha*), or SR sockeye salmon (*O. nerka*). As required by section 7(b)(4), NOAA Fisheries also includes its Incidental Take Statement with reasonable and prudent measures and non-discretionary terms and conditions that NOAA Fisheries determines are appropriate to minimize the impact of incidental take associated with this action.





This document also includes the results of our consultation on the action's likely effects on essential fish habitat (EFH) pursuant to section 305(b) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR Part 600). NOAA Fisheries concludes that the proposed action may adversely affect designated EFH for Columbia basin chinook and coho salmon, English sole (*Pleuronectes vetulus*), starry flounder (*Platichthys stellatus*), the northern anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*), and the Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax*). As required by section 305(b)(4)(A) of the MSA, this biological opinion includes conservation recommendations that NOAA Fisheries determines will avoid, minimize, mitigate, or otherwise offset adverse effects on EFH resulting from the proposed action. As described in Chapter 11, 305(b)(5)(B) of the MSA requires that a Federal action agency must provide a detailed response in writing within 30 days of receiving NOAA Fisheries' EFH conservation recommendation.

Sincerely,

D. Robert Lohn

Regional Administrator

D.RhuthL